arXiv:hep-ph/0703263v1 23 Mar 2007

Lorentz violation and neutrino oscillations*

Matthew Mewes

Physics Department, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI 53201

Abstract

Lorentz violation naturally leads to neutrino oscillations and provides an alternative mechanism that may explain current data. In this work, we discuss possible signals of Lorentz violation in neutrino-oscillation experiments.

---

[*] Poster presented at the 22nd International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics (Neutrino 2006), Santa Fe, New Mexico, June 13-19, 2006

General violations of Lorentz invariance are described by a theoretical framework known as the Standard-Model Extension (SME) [1, 2, 3, 4]. This program has revealed many observable consequences and led to numerous high-precision tests of this fundamental symmetry. One prediction in the neutrino sector is oscillations caused by Lorentz violations rather than neutrino mass. This alternative mechanism results in experimental signatures that distinguish it for the more conventional explanation and lead to potential tests of Lorentz invariance using neutrino-oscillation data. The resulting sensitivities rival the most precise tests in any system. Here we highlight some of the key results. A more detailed discussion can be found in Refs. [5, 6, 7].

Leading-order violations of Lorentz symmetry in neutrinos are described by the effective hamiltonian,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(h_{\mathrm{eff}})_{ab} \;=\; & |\vec{p}|\delta_{ab}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2|\vec{p}|}\begin{pmatrix} (\widetilde{m}^2)_{ab} & 0 \\ 0 & (\widetilde{m}^2)^*_{ab} \end{pmatrix} \\
& + \frac{1}{|\vec{p}|}\begin{pmatrix} [(a_L)^\mu p_\mu - (c_L)^{\mu\nu} p_\mu p_\nu]_{ab} & -i\sqrt{2} p_\mu (\epsilon_+)_\nu [(g^{\mu\nu\sigma} p_\sigma - H^{\mu\nu})\mathcal{C}]_{ab} \\ i\sqrt{2} p_\mu (\epsilon_+)^*_\nu [(g^{\mu\nu\sigma} p_\sigma + H^{\mu\nu})\mathcal{C}]^*_{ab} & [-(a_L)^\mu p_\mu - (c_L)^{\mu\nu} p_\mu p_\nu]^*_{ab} \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}
$$

acting on a general neutrino-antineutrino state vector $(\nu_b, \bar{\nu}_b)^T$. The mass-squared matrix $\widetilde{m}^2$ provides the usual Lorentz-conserving theory. The coefficient matrices $(a_L)^\mu$, $(c_L)^{\mu\nu}$, $g^{\mu\nu\sigma}$, and $H^{\mu\nu}$ control the deviation from perfect Lorentz symmetry.

Many interesting unconventional effects arise from this Lorentz-violating hamiltonian [5]. One potential signal of Lorentz violation in neutrinos is oscillations with unusual energy dependences. Normally, oscillation lengths are inversely proportional to energy, so that neutrino oscillation lengths shrink with an increase in energy. In contrast, Lorentz violation can cause oscillation lengths that remain constant or grow with energy. This can lead to *spectral anomalies* or distortions in the expected energy spectrum.

Anisotropies are another important possibility that arises from a breakdown of rotational symmetry. These can cause direction-dependent oscillations and can have profound consequences. For example, in terrestrial experiments, direction-dependent oscillations can lead to sidereal variations in the observed fluxes as oscillation probabilities fluctuate due to a change in propagation direction resulting from the rotation of the Earth.

Two strategies have been proposed for searches for Lorentz violation. The first involves looking for model-independent features in the oscillation data that can only occur if Lorentz symmetry is violated. The other strategy involves comparing data with simple candidate test models. Several models have been proposed that roughly reproduce current observations and may help resolve the LSND anomaly [6, 7, 8, 9]. In either approach, extreme sensitivities are expected. Analyses of current data [9, 10, 11] suggest that neutrino-oscillation experiments have the potential to probe Lorentz symmetry with sensitivities comparable to the best tests in any sector.

---

[1] For an overview, see, for example, V.A. Kostelecký, ed., *CPT and Lorentz Symmetry III,* World Scientific, Singapore, 2005.

[2] D. Colladay and V.A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 6760 (1997).

[3] D. Colladay and V.A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 116002 (1998).

[4] V.A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 105009 (2004).

[5] V.A. Kostelecký and M. Mewes, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 016005 (2004).

[6] V.A. Kostelecký and M. Mewes, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 031902 (2004).

[7] V.A. Kostelecký and M. Mewes, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 076002 (2004).

[8] T. Katori, V.A. Kostelecky, and R. Tayloe, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 105009 (2006).

[9] LSND Collaboration, L.B. Auerbach *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 076004 (2005).

[10] M.D. Messier (Super Kamiokande), in Ref. [1].

[11] B.J. Rebel and S.F. Mufson (MINOS), in Ref. [1].